1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	♦ ,) No. *-* -PHX/PCT-JAT
10	Plaintiff,	STANDARD CIVIL TRACK INITIAL
11	vs.	ORDER
12	♦ ,)
13	Defendant.))
14		_) _)
15		
16	Pursuant to the mandate of the Differentiated Case Management system set forth in	
17	Rule 2.12 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of	
18	Arizona [hereinafter the "Local Rules"].	, this action, removed on � [date], is designated a
19	STANDARD TRACK case. According	gly,
20	IT IS ORDERED that counsel for the Plaintiff(s) shall notify the Court in writing	
21	when the parties are prepared for a Preliminary Pretrial Conference in accordance with Rule	
22	16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Proc	edure. The Court expects notification as soon as
23	possible and practicable.	
24	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon receipt of notice that the parties are	
25	prepared for a Rule 16 Preliminary Pretrial Conference, the Court will issue a separate Order	
26	which sets the time and date for the Preliminary Pretrial Conference. Because this Court	
27	requires a filing that is broader and more detailed than that proposed in a "Form 35 Report	
28	of Parties' Planning Meeting," the Order	setting the Rule 16 Preliminary Pretrial Conference

will also instruct the parties regarding the specific contents the Court expects in their Proposed Case Management Plan.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that full compliance with Rule 26(f) is expected prior to the date of the Rule 16 Pretrial Conference.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, to satisfy the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a), the parties shall file with the Clerk of the Court a Notice of Initial Disclosure; copies of the actual disclosures shall therefore not be filed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that full compliance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 7.1 (filing of a Corporate Disclosure Statement) is required by plaintiff(s) and defendant(s), if applicable. A Corporate Disclosure Statement form is available under Operations and Filings, Forms on the district court website at www.azd.uscourts.gov.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, absent Court approval, no party may seek discovery from any source before the parties have met and conferred as required by Rule 26(f). Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d).

THE PARTIES ARE CAUTIONED that it is the practice of this Court to not extend the Dispositive Motion Deadline beyond the two-year anniversary of the case being filed in or removed to Federal Court, nor to allow the Discovery Cut-Off to extend beyond 30 days before the Dispositive Motion Deadline. Accordingly, delays in effectuating service of process, delays in seeking a Rule 16 Scheduling Conference, the filing of or pendency of motions, settlement discussions or mediation, etc., will not be considered as justification to exceed the above-referenced two-year deadline.

THE PARTIES ARE FURTHER CAUTIONED that, pursuant to Local Rule of Civil Procedure 7.2(i), their failure to serve and file the required responsive memorandum to a motion "may be deemed a consent to the . . . granting of the motion and the Court may dispose of the motion summarily."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall serve Defendant(s) with a copy of this Order.

1	DATED this, 2002.
2	
3	
4	Honorable James A. Teilborg United States District Judge
5	Copies to all counsel of record or pro se parties
6	copers to the construction of person principles
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
1213	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3